

can tell me what constitutes compliance nor can apparently anybody else. They can't tell you where the sum of two hundred and some thousand came from that they are going to use for this. So why isn't \$50,000 or \$500,000, what is unreasonable about \$500,000 or \$5,000,000. \$50,000 is compliance. We are not stopping the program. We are complying every way, and if somebody is going to claim this is noncompliance, then I would urge you to check the records and you would find that New York in 1972 threw out the whole cotton picking thing and put a moratorium on and hasn't lost a penny. Other states are doing the same thing. Now this is the heart of our season. Our tourist business is coming. Let's at least have a break now before we totally destroy things until we can get 534 passed in January where we can begin implementing some of the zoning or the sign and zoning areas that Senator Keyes is talking about. I would go into that but I don't have time now. But 534 addresses itself to the thing Senator Keyes is talking about. This complies. That is all I am telling you. It complies, I believe. It is \$50,000 and it saves \$200,000 if you adopt it. I urge you to adopt it.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: The question is the adoption of the DeCamp motion to return for this amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. It requires 25 votes. Have you all voted? Record the vote.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 7 nays on motion to return.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: LB 532 is returned.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Now I move adoption of the amendment. I have no discussion. Nobody else does.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Senator Warner has his light on so I guess I will have to recognize. Senator Warner, the Chair recognizes you.

SENATOR WARNER: An inquiry of the Clerk. Is the motion to limit the total expenditure to \$50,000 or the state's share?

CLERK: Not more than \$50,000 total funds.

SENATOR WARNER: I am sure if you want to invite a problem I suspect that ought to do it. The 25% of, would be what, \$12,500 of state funds and the balance would be, what, 3750 of federal funds for sign removal. I suspect that they would consider that as much of a refusal to comply as the original one and I guess you will have to make your own judgment if you want to run the test, fine. Personally, I do not believe that the gamble is worth it.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Senator DeCamp, do you now want to close?

SENATOR DeCAMP: I think \$50,000 is a lot of money, enough money to go spend destroying things. \$250,000 seems a little excessive this year. \$50,000 seems a pretty good sum of money to go out and destroy what we have already got that is helping business.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: All right, that will conclude Senator DeCamp's closing. All those in favor of adopting the DeCamp